



**THE PINHAS SAPIR CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT  
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY**

**Trends in Prenatal Sex Selection and Girls'**

**Nutritional Status in India**

**Analia Schlosser<sup>i</sup>, Luoja Hu<sup>ii</sup>**

**Discussion Paper No. 3-14**

**March 2014**

**The paper can be downloaded from: <http://sapir.tau.ac.il>**

Thanks to The Pinhas Sapir Center for Development at Tel Aviv University for their financial support.

We thank Angus Deaton, Prashant Bharadwaj, Seema Jayachandran, Elaine Liu, Nancy Qian, and seminar participants at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Princeton labor lunch, University of Lausanne, University of Zurich, Ben Gurion University, Bar Ilan University, 2011 SOLE meetings, CESifo Venice Workshop, NBER Summer Institute and Wellesley College for helpful comments. We also thank Yotam Shem Tov for excellent research assistance. The opinions expressed here are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System.

---

<sup>i</sup> Analia Schlosser – The Eitan Berglas School of Economics, Tel Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv.  
Email: [analias@post.tau.ac.il](mailto:analias@post.tau.ac.il)

<sup>ii</sup> Luoja Hu – Economic Research, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Email: [lhu@frbchi.org](mailto:lhu@frbchi.org)

## **ABSTRACT**

We study whether changes in prenatal sex selection across regions in India are associated with changes in girls' nutritional status. We exploit geographic variation in the incidence of prenatal sex selection and apply a triple difference approach comparing changes in the nutritional status of girls relative to boys across regions and over time. We find a reduction in girls' malnutrition in regions with an increasing incidence of prenatal sex selection.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Son preference in India and other Asian countries has been found to be associated with differential parental investment in boys and girls, resulting in gender gaps in child health outcomes and mortality (e.g. Arnold, Choe and Roy 1998; Deaton 1997; George 1997; Miller 1981; Kishor 1993; Rose 1999; Rosenzweig and Schultz 1982; Pande 2003; Barcellos, Carvalho and Lleras-Muney 2010). With the advent of prenatal sex determination technology, parental behavior and thus girls' outcomes could be changing over time. On one hand, parents might respond to the technology by resorting to discriminatory investment against girls even prior to birth, possibly leading to deterioration of girls' birth and postnatal outcomes. On the other hand, increased sex selective abortions might imply that families who choose to give birth to a girl might be less biased against girls, which should, on average, improve the outcomes of girls who are born.

In this paper, we study the impact of prenatal sex selection on girls' nutritional outcomes. We first examine trends in the incidence of prenatal sex selection across regions in India. We show that sex ratios at birth have increased considerably in North-Western states relative to other regions and this increase can largely be attributed to the practice of prenatal sex selection. We then examine changes in nutritional outcomes of girls and boys in North-Western states relative to other states over time by estimating triple-differences models. This strategy allows us to account for time-varying factors at the state level that affect child's outcomes, in addition to control for state-fixed factors that differentially affect both genders and differential trends in boys and girls outcomes over time. Our results show that an increase in the practice of prenatal sex-selection in North-Western states is associated with a reduction in the prevalence of girls' malnutrition (relative to boys).

Our paper is related to a limited number of recent studies (Shepherd 2008; Lin, Liu and Qian 2009; Bhardadwaj and Nelson 2010; Almond, Li and Meng 2010; Hu and Schlosser 2011) that examine the effects of prenatal sex selection on girls' outcomes.

Lin, Liu and Qian (2009) find a reduction in girls' mortality in Taiwan associated with the increasing use of prenatal sex selection. In contrast, Shepherd (2008) finds inconclusive evidence for a reduction in girls' mortality in India while Almond, Li and Meng (2010) find an increase in girls' neonatal mortality following the introduction of ultrasound technology in China. Hu and Schlosser (2011) examine the impacts of prenatal sex selection in India on girls' nutritional status and mortality and explore the possible channels linking between prenatal sex selection and girls' outcomes.<sup>1</sup>

We add to this limited number of studies in several aspects. First, compared to some other countries, India's high degree of heterogeneity in female discrimination and the differences in the practice of prenatal sex selection across regions makes it an interesting case for studying the effects of prenatal sex selection. This is also due to India's large variation in family size across households. Second, while previous studies have focused on mortality, we provide evidence on girls' nutritional status based on intermediate outcomes prevalent in a high proportion of Indian children that have vital life-long consequences for human capital development and well-being (see, e.g. Currie 2009; Case and Paxson 2010). Third, our empirical approach, which is based on a triple-differences model, allows us to control for several confounding factors such as regional variation in son preference and differential trends across regions, providing a powerful way to reject alternative explanations for the observed results. Finally, compared to Hu and Schlosser (2011) which applies a continuous version of a triple-differences model using sex ratios at birth as the main explanatory variable, we abstract from the specific cohort measure of sex ratios and examine general trends across regions that differ in the prevalence of sex selective abortion.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we review the literature and describe the institutional background of unbalanced sex ratios and

---

<sup>1</sup> Other related study is Bhardadwaj and Nelson (2010) who examine gender differences in prenatal investment in countries with strong son preference, including India, although they do not estimate the impact of sex-selective abortion.

prenatal sex selection in India. Section III describes the data. Section IV lays out the empirical strategy and presents the results. Finally, Section V concludes.

## **II. BACKGROUND AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK**

India has long exhibited a female deficit dating back to the 19<sup>th</sup> century (see Visaria 1971; Miller 1981, 1984; Dyson and Moore 1983; Sen, 1992, 2003 who introduced the concept of “Missing Women”). Until the late 1970s, gender imbalances were mostly attributed to excess female mortality due to maltreatment and neglect and in extreme cases to female infanticide (see, for example, Dreze and Sen 1997; Das Gupta 1987). Since the late 1980s, however, male to female ratios at birth have sharply increased, especially in northern and western states, which are regions historically known for strong son preference and gender discrimination. The increase in sex ratios at birth happened in concurrent with the spread of prenatal sex determination technologies, leading many to suggest that sex selective abortion is likely to be a major contributing factor (see, for example, Das Gupta and Bhat 1997; Arnold, Kishor and Roy 2002; Bhat 2002; Bhaskar and Gupta 2007; Retherford and Roy 2003).<sup>2</sup>

While abortion was legalized in India under the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act (MTP) in 1972, sex determination during pregnancy was first made possible only in the late 1970s by the use of amniocentesis (Jefferey, Jefferey and Lyon 1984) and did not become widely accessible until the advent of ultrasound technologies in the 1980s.

Since the late 1980s, a continued decline in desired fertility coupled with a slower decline in the total number of desired sons increased the pressure to have sons at lower parities, thus raising the demand for prenatal sex selection (Das Gupta and Bhat 1997). At the same time, economic development and trade liberalization accelerated the

---

<sup>2</sup> Changes in the enumeration of girls or misreporting of age are unlikely to explain this upward trend since similar increases in sex ratios at birth are also observed among Indian populations living in the US, Canada, and the UK where birth registration is nearly complete and accurate (see Abrevaya 2009; Almond and Edlund 2008; Almond, Edlund and Milligan 2009; Dubuc and Coleman 2007). We provide in the next section further evidence which suggests that prenatal sex selection is the main cause for the upward trend in sex ratios at birth.

supply of prenatal sex determination technologies. The diffusion process took place from urban to rural areas and from households of high socioeconomic status to those of low socioeconomic status (Khanna 1997).

In response to increasing public pressure from several NGOs and women's organizations to eliminate the practice of sex selective abortion, the government of India passed the Prenatal Diagnostic Techniques Regulations and Misuse Act (PNDT Act) in 1994, thus making it illegal to use ultrasound or amniocentesis in order to determine the sex of a fetus. However, this legislation proved to be ineffective and the practice of sex selective abortion continued to spread (see e.g., George 2002; Kishwar 1995). In fact, as the publication of sex ratio figures from the 2001 Census revealed a continuing increase in the male-female ratio (MFR) at ages 0-6, the Indian government was further pressed to enforce and expand the legal power of the PNDT Act. Recent reports indicate some improvement in the enforcement of the Act. However, sex selective abortion is still being practiced extensively and enforcement of the law appears to be difficult, if not impossible, to achieve (see e.g., Subramanian and Selvaraj 2009; Portner 2010).

It has been estimated that about 0.48 million girls per year were selectively aborted in India during 1995-2005, which represents 6.2 percent of all potential female births (Bhalotra and Cochrane 2010). Estimates for northern and western regions are considerably higher. For example, Kulkarni (2007) estimates that out of 168,997 expected female births in Punjab in 2001, 19 percent (31,648) went missing.

### **III. DATA**

The data for our main empirical analysis are taken from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS). The NFHS is a large-scale, multi-round survey conducted in a nationally representative sample of households throughout India. Three rounds of the survey were conducted in 1992-93, 1998-99 and 2005-06. Each round covered approximately 90,000 households which contained more than 500,000 individuals and was designed to provide state-level and national-level estimates. The survey includes detailed

information on the demographic and socioeconomic background of the household members, as well as additional modules designed to investigate health, fertility and mortality.

We pooled the three survey rounds and selected only households with ever married mothers aged between 15 and 49 in order to obtain a consistent sample across the three rounds.<sup>3</sup> **Table 1** reports summary statistics for girls and boys included in our sample (columns 1 and 2), and differences by gender (column 3). The sample includes the youngest two children aged less than three of ever-married women sampled in one of the three NFHS rounds who have valid anthropometric data.<sup>4</sup> There are 76,500 children (36,940 girls and 39,560 boys) who satisfy these criteria. Household characteristics reported in the table are used as control variables in the empirical analysis.

Most children (about 75 percent) in the sample live in rural areas. About half of the children have mothers with no formal education and about 30 percent have fathers with no formal education. Mothers' age at first birth is relatively low at 19, on average. At the time of the survey, mothers were 25 years old, on average, and they had an average of three children.<sup>5</sup> Column 3 shows that girls tend to be born into more disadvantaged families than boys. Their families have lower wealth levels, lower parental education, and a lower degree of exposure to mass media.<sup>6</sup> A possible explanation for differences

---

<sup>3</sup> Only eligible individuals (ever married women age 13-49 in round 1, ever married women age 15-49 in round 2, and women age 15-49 and men age 15-54) and their children were selected for the long-form questionnaires of the survey.

<sup>4</sup> The first round of the NFHS collected anthropometric data for the youngest two children in the household who were under four years of age. The second round restricted the anthropometric data collection to the youngest two children in the household under the age of three, while the third round extended the data collection to all children in the household under five years of age. To be consistent across survey rounds, we restrict the sample to the youngest two children under three years of age. In practice, our results are insensitive to these restrictions.

<sup>5</sup> Note that the average number of children in our samples does not represent completed fertility as most women are still in their fertile years.

<sup>6</sup> The index for media exposure is defined by the sum of indicators for exposure to TV, radio and newspapers or magazines. Each indicator receives a value of one if the mother reported exposure of at least once a week or almost every day. The wealth index is a constructed index

in family characteristics of girls versus boys is the practice of sex selective abortion. Another fact worth noting is that although girls do not appear to have more siblings than boys, probably due to the fact that a large proportion of children in the sample come from households with incomplete fertility, we see that mothers of girls are more likely to report that they want to have another child.

We use two alternative data sources for measuring the state-year MFR. The first source uses data on the number of males and females ever born based on the women's self-reported fertility history of the NFHS. To reduce measurement error, we compute MFR using a 7-year moving average of the ratio of the number of male births to female births by year and state using the pooled data of the three NFHS rounds. The second source of data comes from the population counts from the Indian decennial censuses. In this case, we compute MFR using information on the total number of children at age 0 by gender, state and census year. MFR based on NFHS data has the advantage that it uses information from all children ever born so that it is not affected by differential mortality or living arrangements. However, it is susceptible to differential recall and sampling error. MFR based on census counts does not suffer from report or sampling errors but includes only children who are alive at census date. We found that both measures are highly correlated.

#### **IV. EMPIRICAL STRATEGY AND RESULTS**

We exploit variation in the incidence of prenatal sex selection across time and regions to examine its effect of on girls' nutritional outcomes.

---

provided in the NFHS data. The index is based on household assets and housing characteristics and denotes the wealth quintile of the household relative to all households sampled in the same survey round.<sup>7</sup> MFR from census records number of children aged 0 to 11 months who are alive on census date. So, they also reflect gender differentials in infant mortality rates and living arrangements. In the next paragraphs we refer to MFR at age 0 as MFR at birth since changes in mortality and living arrangements by gender had only a negligible contribution to the overall trends in this variable.

#### IV.1. INCIDENCE OF PRENATAL SEX SELECTION

One potential limitation of our analysis is that we do not directly observe the practice of sex selective abortion. However, we do observe its consequences, primarily the abnormal sex ratio at birth. **Figure 1** shows state variation in male-female ratios (MFR) at age 0 as reported in census records from 1961 to 2001.<sup>7</sup> Until the 1980s, MFR at birth did not exceed the normal ranges of 103-107 males per 100 females found in various large-scale studies (for example, Visaria 1971; Jacobsen, Møller and Mouritsen 1999). Increases in MFR at birth become evident at the transition points of 1981, 1991 and 2001, which overlaps with the diffusion of ultrasound technology in India.

Interestingly, there are large variations in MFR (both in their levels and in their growth rates) even across those states that appear to have a strong preference for boys. To illustrate this point, Panel a of **Table 2** reports MFR at birth by state for various census years (columns 1-5) and indicators of fertility and preference for number and sex composition of children based on tabulations from the first round of the NFHS (columns 6-9).<sup>8</sup> States are grouped by region.

The largest increases in sex ratios at birth are found in the northern and western states, which are characterized by a strong degree of son preference. In Punjab, for example, while MFR was within the normal range between 1961 and 1981, it increased dramatically between 1981 and 2001 from 106 to 129. In Gujarat, MFR remained at 103 between 1961 and 1981 but increased from 103 to 116 between 1981 and 2001. Both

---

<sup>7</sup> MFR from census records number of children aged 0 to 11 months who are alive on census date. So, they also reflect gender differentials in infant mortality rates and living arrangements. In the next paragraphs we refer to MFR at age 0 as MFR at birth since changes in mortality and living arrangements by gender had only a negligible contribution to the overall trends in this variable.

<sup>8</sup> Women with living children were asked: "If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" Women with no living children were asked, "If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" All women who gave a numerical response to the question on the ideal number of children were also asked how many of these children they would like to be boys, how many they would like to be girls, and for how many the sex would not matter.

northern and western states appear to have strong son preference as manifested by the ideal sex ratio reported by mothers (1.46 and 1.33) and the proportion of mothers who desire a larger number of sons than daughters (0.48 and 0.42).

While strong son preference is found in states with upward trends in MFR, we also observe that in several states in the northeast, central, and eastern regions with similar strong preferences for sons there was either no increase in MFR or only a mild one. In Madhya Pradesh, for example, mothers reported an ideal sex ratio of 1.44 but sex ratios at birth remained close to natural levels (MFR of 106 in 2001). Southern states are usually characterized by a low degree of son preference and stable sex ratios at birth.

Evidence reported in Table 2 suggest that strong son preference cannot alone explain state variation in MFR since there are several states with strong son preference that have not shown any significant increase in MFR. Indeed, a factor that distinguishes between states with similar son preference but different incidence in prenatal sex-selection is economic development. Panel b of Table 2 shows that Northern and western states are generally more economically developed than states in the northeast, central and eastern regions. This is reflected by a higher wealth index, income per capita, share of households with electricity, and degree of exposure to mass media (TV). On the other hand, development and income levels in many of the northern and western states are comparable to those in southern states where sex ratios have remained balanced.<sup>9</sup>

Overall, evidence above suggests that the primary factors which characterize states with an increasing MFR are a strong preference for boys and a higher degree of development and modernization (in combination). Still, there are some exceptions, such as the state of Rajasthan which is poorer and less developed but exhibits an increasing trend in MFR. There is also a clear geographical pattern that points to a higher incidence of prenatal sex selection in northern and western states. The fact that there are states

---

<sup>9</sup> In terms of women's educational level and religion, it is hard to find a clear pattern that differentiates states with increases in MFR from the rest. For example, MFR has increased significantly in Punjab, which has a high proportion of Sikhs and also in Himachal Pradesh where the majority of the population is Hindu.

with a strong preferences for boys and states with high levels of development that have not exhibited significant increases in MFR provide us with a heterogeneous group of states that are comparable to states with increasing MFR across different dimensions.

To examine the impact of prenatal sex selection on girls' nutritional status we exploit variation in the incidence of prenatal sex selection across regions over time by stratifying states in two groups: treated states (states in the northern and western regions) which, as discussed earlier, exhibit stronger son preference and an increasing trend in the practice of prenatal sex selection and comparison states (which include all other states). Specifically, we identify the following eight states as treated: Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra, Punjab, Rajasthan, Jammu and Kashmir, and Delhi. This list coincides with the classification of Bhat (2002) and adds the states of Jammu and Kashmir and Rajasthan to the classification proposed by Retherford and Roy (2003).

**Figure 2** plots the Male-Female ratio at birth by survey round in treated and comparison states for the cohorts of children born in the last 3 years prior to each survey date. The figure shows that MFR in treated states is higher than in comparison states. Moreover, while MFR increases sharply in treated states over the three survey rounds, MFR in comparison states appears to remain relatively stable.<sup>10</sup>

In **Table 3** we examine whether the increase in MFR observed in treated states is directly related to the practice of sex selective abortions by testing whether the propensity of giving birth to a boy is higher among families who might feel a stronger pressure to have a son and whether this propensity increased when prenatal sex determination became feasible. We report in this table the likelihood of a male birth at parity  $N$  (two or three) as a function of the sex composition of the older siblings who were alive at the time of conception using a linear probability model. We examine two samples: children born between 1975 and 1989 and children born from 1990 onwards.

---

<sup>10</sup> We do not claim that prenatal sex selection is not practiced at all in other states but rather that its effects are expected to be smaller relative to the treated group.

This split is meant to proxy for the availability of ultrasound technology. We assess the differential probability in treated states and in comparison states.

The results show that, prior to the 1990s, the likelihood of a male birth at parity two in treated states is not associated with the gender of the older sibling. At parity three, we already observe some positive association for households with two girls in treated states although the estimate is relatively small (2.7 percentage points). The differential probability of a male birth among households with one or two older girls increases considerably during the 1990s in treated states and is highly significant. Estimates for parity two show that households in treated states that have a girl at parity one, are almost four percentage points more likely to have a boy at parity two relative to households that have a boy at parity one. For parity three, we find that the likelihood of having a boy is 7.9 percentage points higher among households with two girls and 5.3 points higher among households with a girl and a boy relative to households with two boys.

In sharp contrast to the pattern observed for treated states, we find no statistically significant differences in the likelihood of a male birth at parity two or three according to the sex composition of previous children among households in the other states during the post-ultrasound period.

Overall, the evidence presented above points to an increasing trend in the use of prenatal sex selection in the treated states as opposed to all remaining states, in which the practice of prenatal sex selection appears to be less common.

#### **IV.2. NUTRITIONAL STATUS**

We focus our analysis on children's nutritional status since it embeds information on various types of parental input and is measured more easily than most other outcomes and is therefore less likely to suffer from measurement error or recall bias. Children's nutritional status is assessed by anthropometric indicators based on height, weight and

age.<sup>11</sup> In particular, we consider three indicators of malnutrition: stunting, underweight and wasting. All three are defined based on z-scores, which are computed by subtracting the median and dividing by the standard deviation of a reference population of the same age and gender. Specifically, a child is considered stunted if his/her height-for-age is at least two standard deviations below the median of the reference population (or the associated z-score is smaller than minus two). An underweight child has a weight-for-age at least two standard deviations below the median, and a wasted child has a weight-for-height at least two standard deviations below the median.

The three indicators capture malnutrition from different perspectives. Stunting reflects long-term malnutrition or cumulative nutrition from conception and is also affected by recurrent or chronic illnesses. Wasting measures acute malnutrition and represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of inadequate food intake or a recent episode of illness leading to weight loss. An important feature of the wasting indicator is that it does not depend on the accuracy of age reporting. On the other hand, it is more sensitive to seasonal shocks. Underweight is a composite index of chronic or acute malnutrition. Note that z-scores are normalized by gender and age so that they take into account that boys and girls may follow different growth trajectories. Our analysis uses z-scores based on the US National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) standard, which was the most commonly used measure until 2006.<sup>12</sup> About 18 percent of the children aged 0 to 35 months included in our sample have missing values in at least one of the anthropometric indicators. Nevertheless, we do not find any significant gender differences in the likelihood of having a missing value in these indicators.<sup>13</sup> It is

---

<sup>11</sup> Height and weight were measured by the interviewers at the time of the survey using the United Nations guidelines (see Chapter 9 of IIPS 1995 for technical details).

<sup>12</sup> A new international reference population was published by the World Health Organization in 2006. Our results are not sensitive to the specific reference chart used to define z-scores.

<sup>13</sup> Height was not measured in the first round of the NFHS in Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal because height measuring boards were not available at that time (IIPS, 1995). Nevertheless, our results for weight are highly consistent with

important to note that anthropometric indicators are only available for children who are alive at the survey date. We discuss the implications of this issue in the next section and assess the sensitivity of our results to the potential inclusion of anthropometric measures of dead children.

**Figure 3** plots the nutritional outcomes for girls versus boys in treated and comparison states as measured in the first round of the survey, when the practice of prenatal sex-selection was still relatively low in most areas. Panels a through c plot the share of malnourished children (i.e., proportion underweight, stunted or wasted) according to z-scores defined using the NCHS reference charts, panels d through f plot the equivalent indicators according to the 2006 WHO reference charts. Several remarks are in order. First, as noted in other studies (see, e.g., Rosenzweig and Schultz 1982; Sommerfelt and Arnold 1998; Griffiths, Matthews and Hinde 2002), a comparison of nutritional outcomes between boys and girls is not very informative for inference of gender discrimination as these outcomes are also affected by biological processes, genetic endowments and illnesses that might differentially affect the genders.<sup>14</sup> Indeed, Figure 3 shows that in comparison states, boys are more likely to suffer from malnutrition than girls.<sup>15</sup>

Another important observation that emerges from this figure is that the gender gap in nutritional outcomes appears to be quite sensitive to the reference chart used for the standardization of z-scores. For example, the gender gap in the proportion underweight or stunted in treated states switches sign between panels 3a, b and 3d, e. A consistent

---

the results for height and our main results are unchanged when we limit the sample to states that have anthropometric data in the three survey rounds. We therefore believe that the lack of height data in round 1 for some states is unlikely to bias the main results.

<sup>14</sup> In **Appendix Figure A1** we examine the proportion of boys and girls who are underweight using data from DHS surveys of various Sub-Saharan countries and India from the early 1990s. Interestingly, boys are more likely to be underweight than girls in most Sub-Saharan countries despite the fact that these countries do not show clear preferences for children sex composition.

<sup>15</sup> Other studies for India have also been inconclusive on whether there is a female disadvantage in nutritional outcomes of children when comparing outcomes by gender (e.g. Mishra, Lahiri and Luther, 1999; Pande 2003; Mishra, Roy and Retherford 2004; Tarozzi 2008; Barcellos, Carvalho and Lleras-Muney 2010).

pattern emerging from this figure is that girls' relative nutritional status in treated states is always lower than in comparison states. This is evident even in cases where girls do not appear to have a nutritional disadvantage relative to boys (as in panels c, d, e, and f).

Evidence presented in this figure suggest that the gender gap in nutritional status *per se* cannot be used as a direct indicator for gender discrimination, however the comparison of gender gap across regions can be informative about the relative status of girls. An alternative approach for inference about girls' differential treatment would be to compare girls' nutritional status in across regions. However, this comparison would need to account for all other differences between states that could affect girls' outcomes. To account for these differences, we examine changes in the outcomes of girls versus boys over time comparing between regions with an increasing prevalence of prenatal sex selection and regions where prenatal sex selection has remained relatively stable.

### IV.3. EFFECT OF PRENATAL SEX SELECTION ON GIRLS' NUTRITIONAL STATUS

We analyze the change in girls' nutritional status in northern and western states relative to boys and relative to other states over the three survey rounds by estimating the following equation:

$$(1) y_{is\tau} = \alpha_{s0} + \alpha_{s1} \text{female}_i + \delta_{\tau0} + \delta_{\tau1} \text{female}_i + x_i' \beta + \gamma_{\tau0} \text{Treated}_s + \gamma_{\tau1} (\text{Treated}_s * \text{female}_i) + \varepsilon_{is\tau}$$

where  $y_{is\tau}$  is the outcome of child  $i$  in state  $s$  and in survey round  $\tau$ ,  $\alpha_{s0}$  and  $\alpha_{s1}$  are vectors of gender-specific state fixed effects,  $\delta_{\tau0}$  and  $\delta_{\tau1}$  are vectors of gender-specific survey-round fixed effects,  $x_i$  is a vector of individual characteristics that include year of birth fixed-effects, indicators for twin birth, residence in an urban area, religion, mother's and father's level of education, mother's age (grouped), wealth quintiles, mass media exposure and mother's age at first birth. "Treated" is an indicator that equals 1 if child  $i$  was born in a state with a high incidence of prenatal sex selection and 0

otherwise. The parameters of interest are  $\gamma_{\tau 1}$  ( $\tau = 1998; 2005$ ) which denote the differential change in girls' outcomes between 1992 (the year of the first round of the NFHS) and 1998 or 2005 (the years of the second and third rounds of the NFHS survey, respectively) in states with an upward trend in prenatal sex selection relative to states in which prenatal sex selection is rare and has not increased over time.

This approach is a *triple-difference* estimation strategy.<sup>16</sup> This strategy has the advantage that it allows us to control for state-level fixed factors that differentially affect boys and girls (for example, the degree of discrimination against girls in a state). We also control for differential trends in boys' and girls' outcomes at the national level in a very flexible way by including gender-specific survey-round fixed effects. In addition, we control for time-varying factors that affect boys and girls similarly in treated and comparison states (for example, improvement in access to health facilities). In this regard, it is important to note that we always include in our model the interactions between survey-round and treated, which gives us an indicator of the effect of unobserved time varying factors that differentially affect boys' outcomes in treated and in comparison states.

**Table 4** reports the estimates from our triple-difference equation for a linear probability model of likelihood of being underweight, wasted, or stunted. The coefficients on the triple interaction terms, *female\*round2\*treated* and *female\*round3\*treated*, are negative for the three nutritional status indicators. The magnitude of the estimates is larger (in absolute terms) in the third survey round relative to the second round (see columns 4 and 6), which is consistent with the upward trend in MFR over the three survey rounds observed in **Figure 2**. For example, estimates for underweight (first row) suggest that girls' likelihood of being underweight decreased

---

<sup>16</sup> An alternative strategy is to replace the interactions between treatment indicator, survey rounds and gender with a main MFR effect and its interaction with female. This alternative strategy exploits the full variation in the extent of prenatal sex selection across time and regions. However, it requires a stronger assumption for identification. In Hu and Schlosser (2011) we use this alternative strategy and show that the two approaches yield very similar results.

about 4 percentage points more in states with a higher incidence of prenatal sex selection relative to other states between the first and the second round of the NFHS. The reduction observed in the third round relative to the first is about 6 percentage points. The differential decrease is about 9 percent and 13 percent, respectively, of the outcome mean. Note that sex ratios at birth increased in treated states from 1.093 to 1.151 between the first and the third round, while in other states, they increased only slightly from 1.064 to 1.067. Estimates for the additional outcomes provide a similar picture of a decline in the proportion of girls who are wasted or stunted in treated states over the survey rounds.

Interestingly, with the exception of stunted, the coefficients for the two interaction terms,  $\text{round2}*\text{treated}$  and  $\text{round3}*\text{treated}$ , are generally small, and insignificant. This finding suggests that at least for underweight and wasting, there does not appear to be major changes over time in the status of boys in treated states relative to other states, which to some extent alleviates the concerns that our results are confounded by omitted time-varying factors that affected child nutritional status in treated states.

As noted above, the anthropometric data is available only for surviving children. If prenatal sex selection has any impact on mortality, our analysis of nutrition will be based on a selective sample. For example, if increases in MFR are associated with a reduction in female child mortality, they might lead to an increase in the proportion of girls who are close to a survival threshold, thus attenuating the estimated effect of MFR on nutritional status. However, results from Hu and Schlosser (2011) suggest the effects on mortality are negligible or not significant, which mitigates the concern about selectivity issues in the nutritional status results.

We further assess the sensitivity of our results to differential mortality by imputing anthropometric measures for dead children. Specifically, we assign to dead children the lowest quintile or decile of outcomes observed for children who are alive and of the same age, gender, state and survey round. The estimates based on the expanded sample, reported in **Appendix Table 1**, are very similar to our main results.

Taken together, our findings suggest that girls' nutritional status improved to a larger extent in states with an increasing trend in the use of prenatal sex selection.

## **V. SUMMARY**

In this paper, we study the impact of prenatal sex selection on the nutritional outcomes of girls in India. We use data from the National Family Household Survey and exploit variation in prevalence of sex-selective abortion across regions and over time. More specifically, we examine changes in the outcomes of girls versus boys over the three survey rounds in North-Western states, which have experienced an upward trend in the practice of prenatal sex selection, relative to other states where the practice of prenatal sex selection has been relatively rare.

Our results show that states with an increasing trend in the practice of prenatal sex selection experienced a larger reduction in the proportion of malnourished girls. We show that our results cannot be explained by changes in mortality.

One important question is what are the possible factors that could explain the relative improvement in girls' nutritional status in states with a higher prevalence of sex selective abortion? Besides the aforementioned selection channel through which girls are born into families with weaker son preference, girls could also be born into smaller families as prenatal sex selection allows parents reduce their reliance on son-based fertility stopping rules. Girls' outcomes might also be affected if families that selectively abort girls have different characteristics than those that do not practice sex selective abortion. Finally, as girls become increasingly scarce and thus more valuable in the labor and marriage markets, parental incentives to invest in girls might increase even among families who do not practice prenatal sex selection. In Hu and Schlosser (2011) we further explore these underlying mechanisms linking prenatal sex selection and girls' nutritional outcomes. A better understanding of the mechanisms would also provide important information regarding the potential unintended consequences of banning

sex-selective abortion and encourage alternative policies for promoting parental investment in girls.

## REFERENCES

- Abrevaya, J. (2009), "Are There Missing Girls in the United States? Evidence from Birth Data", *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 1, 1-34.
- Almond, D. and L. Edlund (2008), "Son-Biased Sex Ratios in the 2000 United States Census", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*, 105, 5681-82.
- Almond, D., L. Edlund and K. Milligan (2009), "Son Preference and the Persistence of Culture: Evidence from Asian Immigrants to Canada", National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper no. 15391.
- Almond, D., H. Li and L. Meng (2010), "Son Preference and Early Childhood Investments in China", Working paper, Columbia University. .
- Arnold, F., M. K. Choe and T.K. Roy (1998), "Son Preference, the Family- Building Process and Child Mortality in India", *Population Studies*, 52, 301-15.
- Arnold, F., S. Kishor and T.K. Roy ( 2002), "Sex-Selective Abortions in India", *Population and Development Review*, 28, 759-85.
- Barcellos, S., L. Carvalho and A. Lleras-Muney (2010), "Child Gender and Parental Investment in India: Are Boys and Girls Treated Differently?" Working paper, University of California-Los Angeles.
- Bhalotra, S. and T. Cochrane (2010), "Where Have All the Young Girls Gone? Identification of Sex Selection in India", IZA Discussion Paper no. 5381.
- Bharadwaj, P. and L. K. Nelson (2010), "Discrimination Begins In the Womb: Evidence Of Sex-Selective Prenatal Investments", Working paper, University of California-San Diego.
- Bhaskar, V. and B. Gupta (2007), "India's Development in the Era of Growth", *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 23, 135-42.
- Bhat, M. P. N. (2002), "On the Trail of 'Missing' Indian Females – II: Illusion and Reality", *Economic and Political Weekly*, 37, 5244-63.
- Case, A. and C. Paxson (2010), "Causes and Consequences of Early Life Health", *Demography*, 47S, S65-S85.
- Currie, J. (2009), "Healthy, Wealthy and Wise: Socioeconomic Status, Poor Health in Childhood, and Human Capital Development", *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47, 87–122.
- DasGupta, M. (1987), "Selective Discrimination Against Female Children in Rural Punjab", *Population and Development Review*, 13, 77-100.
- Das Gupta, M. and P. N. M. Bhat (1997), "Fertility Decline and the Increased Manifestation of Sex Bias in India", *Population Studies*, 51, 307-15.
- Deaton, A. (1997), *The Analysis of Household Surveys: A Microeconometric Approach to Development Policy*, John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London.

- Dreze, J. and A. Sen (1997), *Indian Development: Selected Regional Perspectives*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Dubuc, S. and D. Coleman (2007), "An Increase in the Sex Ratio of Births to India-Born Mothers in England and Wales: Evidence for Sex-Selective Abortion", *Population and Development Review*, 33, 383-400.
- Dyson, T. and M. Moore (1983), "On Kinship Structure, Female Autonomy, and Demographic Behavior in India", *Population and Development Review*, 9, 35-60.
- George, S. M. (1997), "Female Infanticide in Tamil Nadu, India: From Recognition Back to Denial?" *Reproductive Health Matters*, 10, 124-31.
- George, S. M. (2002), "Sex Selection/Determination in India: Contemporary Developments", *Reproductive Health Matters*, 10, 190-92.
- Griffiths, P., Z. Matthews and A. Hinde (2002), "Gender, Family and the Nutritional Status of Children in Three Culturally Contrasting States of India", *Social Science and Medicine*, 55, 775-90.
- Hu, L. and A. Schlosser (2011), "Prenatal Sex Selection and Girls' Well-being: Evidence from India", Working paper, Tel Aviv University.
- IIPS (International Institute for Population Sciences) (1995), *National Family Health Survey (MCH and Family Planning): India 1992-93*, International Institute for Population Sciences, Bombay.
- Jacobsen, R., H. Møller and A. Mouritsen (1999), "Natural Variation in the Human Sex Ratio", *Human Reproduction*, 14, 3120-25.
- Jefferey, R., P. Jefferey and A. Lyon (1984), "Female Infanticide and Amniocentesis", *Social Science and Medicine*, 19, 1207-12.
- Khanna, S. K. (1997), "Traditions and Reproductive Technology in an Urbanizing North Indian Village", *Social Science & Medicine*, 44, 171-80.
- Kishor, S. (1993), "May God Give Sons to All: Gender and Child Mortality in India", *American Sociological Review*, 58, 247-65.
- Kishwar, M. (1995), "When Daughters are Unwanted: Sex Determination Tests in India", *Manushi*, 86, 15-22.
- Kulkarni, P. M. (2007), "Estimation of Missing Girls at Birth and Juvenile Ages in India", Paper commissioned by the United Nations Population Fund, UNFP.
- Lin, M.-J., J.-T. Liu and N. Qian (2009), "More Missing Women, Fewer Girls Dying: The Impact of Abortion on Sex Ratios at Birth and Excess Female Mortality in Taiwan", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper no. 14541.
- Miller, B. (1981), *The Endangered Sex: Neglect of Female Children in Rural North India*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca. Miller, B. (1984), "Daughter Neglect, Women's

- Work and Kinship: Pakistan and Bangladesh Compared”, *Medical Anthropology*, 8, 109-26.
- Mishra, V., S. Lahiri and N. Luther (1999), “Child Nutrition in India”, National Family Health Survey Subject Reports, no. 14, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India.
- Mishra, V., T. K. Roy and R. Retherford (2004), “Sex Differentials in Childhood Feeding, Health Care, and Nutritional Status in India”, *Population and Development Review*, 30, 269-95.
- Pande, R. P. (2003), “Selective Gender Differentials in Childhood Nutrition and Immunization in Rural India: The Role of Siblings”, *Demography* 40, 395-418.
- Portner, C. (2010), “Sex Selective Abortion, Fertility and Birth Spacing”, Working paper, University of Washington.
- Retherford, R. D. and T. K. Roy (2003), “Factors Affecting Sex-selective Abortion in India and 17 Major States.” National Family Health Survey Subject Report, no. 21.
- Rose, E. (1999), “Consumption Smoothing and Excess of Female Mortality in Rural India”, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 8141-49.
- Rosenzweig, M. R. and P. Schultz (1982), “Market Opportunities, Genetic Endowments, and Intrafamily Resource Distribution: Child Survival in Rural India”, *American Economic Review*, 72, 803-15.
- Shepherd, M. E. (2008), *The Selective Abortion in India: The Impact on Child Mortality*, Cambria Press.
- Sen, A. (1992), “Missing Women”, *BMJ*, 304, 586-87.
- Sen, A. (2003), “Missing Women – Revisited”, *BMJ*, 327, 1297-99.
- Sommerfelt, A. E. and F. Arnold (1998), “Sex Differentials in Nutritional Status of Young Children,” in *Too Young to Die: Genes or Gender?* Population Division, United Nations, New York, pp. 133-53.
- Subramanian, S. V. and S. Selvaraj (2009), “Social Analysis of Sex Imbalance in India: Before and After the Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PNDT) Act”, *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 63, 245-52.
- Tarozzi, A. (2008), “Growth Reference Charts and the Nutritional Status of Indian Children”, *Economics and Human Biology*, 6, 455-68.
- Visaria, P. M. (1971), “The Sex-ratio of the Population of India”, *Census of India 1961*, vol. 1, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.