

# The Entropy Method and Repeated Games with Bounded Memory

Ron Peretz

Tel Aviv University

October 27

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

1

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

10

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101



## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101 0

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101 00

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101 001

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101 001 111 011 000 100 110

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?

Let's play!

101 001 111 011 000 100 110 010

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?

Let's play!

101 001 111 011 000 100 110 010

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!



## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

1

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

10

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 0

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 00

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001 1

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001 11



## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001 111

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001 111 0

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?

Let's play again!

101 001 111 01...

## Introductory example

- Take a random ordering of the integers  $0, 1, \dots, 7$ .
- Write them in binary representation. We have a random sequence of 24 bits,  $x_1, \dots, x_{24}$ .
- How random is this sequence? How well does it play repeated matching pennies?
- What is the asymptotic value, if we take  $n2^n$  such bits?
- What is the value if we are allowed to take a glimpse at the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ?
- Bounded memory.

Let's play again!

101 001 111 01...

# Entropy

- **Shannon's entropy** of a discrete random variable  $X$ , denoted  $H(X)$ , expresses the expected number of bits of information one might need in order to communicate the value of  $X$ , or equivalently, the number of bits of information conveyed by  $X$ .

# Entropy

- **Shannon's entropy** of a discrete random variable  $X$ , denoted  $H(X)$ , expresses the expected number of bits of information one might need in order to communicate the value of  $X$ , or equivalently, the number of bits of information conveyed by  $X$ .
- The **mutual information** of a pair of discrete random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , denoted  $I(X; Y)$ , expresses the amount of information conveyed by  $X$  about  $Y$  and vice versa (since  $I(X; Y) = I(Y; X)$ ). As such, it measured the amount of dependence between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

# Why entropy?

- Consider the  $n$  fold repeated version of a finite two-person game  $G = \langle A, B, g \rangle$ .

## Why entropy?

- Consider the  $n$  fold repeated version of a finite two-person game  $G = \langle A, B, g \rangle$ .
- Player 1 plays a mixed *oblivious* strategy  $X$ .



## Why entropy?

- Consider the  $n$  fold repeated version of a finite two-person game  $G = \langle A, B, g \rangle$ .
- Player 1 plays a mixed *oblivious* strategy  $X$ .
- Player 2, who has some information about the realization of  $X$ , plays a correlated (nonoblivious) strategy  $\tau$ .

## Why entropy?

- Consider the  $n$  fold repeated version of a finite two-person game  $G = \langle A, B, g \rangle$ .
- Player 1 plays a mixed *oblivious* strategy  $X$ .
- Player 2, who has some information about the realization of  $X$ , plays a correlated (nonoblivious) strategy  $\tau$ .
- The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .

## Why entropy?

- Consider the  $n$  fold repeated version of a finite two-person game  $G = \langle A, B, g \rangle$ .
- Player 1 plays a mixed *oblivious* strategy  $X$ .
- Player 2, who has some information about the realization of  $X$ , plays a correlated (nonoblivious) strategy  $\tau$ .
- The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .
- We want to evaluate the expected average payoffs in the  $n$  fold repeated game. It is sufficient to consider the expected empirical frequency of the play, i.e. the expected number of times that each action profile was played divided by  $n$ .

## Lemma (Neyman-Okada 2009)

Let  $X$  and  $\tau$  be (correlated) random variables assuming values in

- $X - A^n$ ,
- $\tau$  - the set of pure strategies of player 2 in the  $n$  fold repeated version of  $G$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be random variables whose joint distribution is the expected empirical frequency of the induced play. We have

$$I(a; b) \leq H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X) + \frac{1}{n}I(X; \tau).$$

## Lemma (Neyman-Okada 2009)

Let  $X$  and  $\tau$  be (correlated) random variables assuming values in

- $X - A^n$ ,
- $\tau$  - the set of pure strategies of player 2 in the  $n$  fold repeated version of  $G$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be random variables whose joint distribution is the expected empirical frequency of the induced play. We have

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X) + \frac{1}{n}I(X; \tau).$$

## Lemma (Neyman-Okada 2009)

Let  $X$  and  $\tau$  be (correlated) random variables assuming values in

- $X - A^n$ ,
- $\tau$  - the set of pure strategies of player 2 in the  $n$  fold repeated version of  $G$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be random variables whose joint distribution is the expected empirical frequency of the induced play. We have

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq \underbrace{H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X)}_{\text{randomness}} + \frac{1}{n}I(X; \tau).$$

## Lemma (Neyman-Okada 2009)

Let  $X$  and  $\tau$  be (correlated) random variables assuming values in

- $X - A^n$ ,
- $\tau$  - the set of pure strategies of player 2 in the  $n$  fold repeated version of  $G$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  induces a random play  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y_t = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1})$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be random variables whose joint distribution is the expected empirical frequency of the induced play. We have

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq \underbrace{H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X)}_{\text{randomness}} + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{I(X; \tau)}_{\text{mutual information}}.$$

## Neyman-Okada's lemma, continued

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq \underbrace{H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X)}_{\text{randomness}} + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{I(X; \tau)}_{\text{mutual information}}$$



## Neyman-Okada's lemma, continued

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq \underbrace{H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X)}_{\text{randomness}} + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{I(X; \tau)}_{\text{mutual information}}$$

- If  $X$  was a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and  $X$  and  $\tau$  were independent, then the payoff of player 1 would be at least the payoff secured by  $a$  in one-stage game.

## Neyman-Okada's lemma, continued

$$\underbrace{I(a; b)}_{\text{dependency}} \leq \underbrace{H(a) - \frac{1}{n}H(X)}_{\text{randomness}} + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{I(X; \tau)}_{\text{mutual information}}$$

- If  $X$  was a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and  $X$  and  $\tau$  were independent, then the payoff of player 1 would be at least the payoff secured by  $a$  in one-stage game.
- In general, the payoff of player 1 is at least the payoff secured by  $a$  in the one-stage game where player 2 is allowed to correlate with player 1 up to the amount on the right-hand side of the inequality.

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

||  
0

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

||  
0

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   $\parallel$

$\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   $\parallel$

$\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .
- The empirical frequency of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ \begin{array}{c} H(a) \\ \parallel \\ 1 \end{array} - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   $\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   $\parallel$   $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .
- The empirical frequency of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .



## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ \begin{array}{c} H(a) \\ \parallel \\ 1 \end{array} - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   $\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   $\parallel$   $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .
- The empirical frequency of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .
- $\log m! / m \log m \rightarrow 1$

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ \begin{array}{c} H(a) \\ \parallel \\ 1 \end{array} - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   
 $\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $1$

$\parallel$   
 $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .
- The empirical frequency of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .
- $\log m! / m \log m \rightarrow 1$
- **Conclusion:**

## Uninformed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ \begin{array}{c} H(a) \\ \parallel \\ 1 \end{array} - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \mathcal{T})$$

$\parallel$   
 $\frac{\log_2 2^n!}{n2^n}$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $1$

$\parallel$   
 $0$

- Player 2 is not informed of the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- The entropy of the uniform distribution on  $m$  objects is  $\log_2 m$ .
- The empirical frequency of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .
- $\log m! / m \log m \rightarrow 1$
- Conclusion: the value of the uninformed game converges to the value of the one-stage game.

## Informed strategies and bounded memory

- A strategy of an informed player depends on his information. In our example, the information is the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .

## Informed strategies and bounded memory

- A strategy of an informed player depends on his information. In our example, the information is the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- For every realization  $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n2^n}$ , the player must specify a strategy in the  $n$  fold repeated matching pennies game,  $\tau_{|\xi}$ . The informed strategy  $\tau : \xi \mapsto \tau_{|\xi}$  is a random variable that depends on  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .

## Informed strategies and bounded memory

- A strategy of an informed player depends on his information. In our example, the information is the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- For every realization  $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n2^n}$ , the player must specify a strategy in the  $n$  fold repeated matching pennies game,  $\tau_{|\xi}$ . The informed strategy  $\tau : \xi \mapsto \tau_{|\xi}$  is a random variable that depends on  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- A player who can only memorize  $M$  bits of information, can only use informed strategies that have at most  $2^M$  distinct realizations. Namely,

$$\log_2 \# \left\{ \tau_{|\xi} : \xi \in \{0, 1\}^{n2^n} \right\} \leq M.$$

## Informed strategies and bounded memory

- A strategy of an informed player depends on his information. In our example, the information is the realization of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- For every realization  $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n2^n}$ , the player must specify a strategy in the  $n$  fold repeated matching pennies game,  $\tau_{|\xi}$ . The informed strategy  $\tau : \xi \mapsto \tau_{|\xi}$  is a random variable that depends on  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ .
- A player who can only memorize  $M$  bits of information, can only use informed strategies that have at most  $2^M$  distinct realizations. Namely,

$$\log_2 \# \left\{ \tau_{|\xi} : \xi \in \{0, 1\}^{n2^n} \right\} \leq M.$$

- We use the above as a definition for  $M$ -memory informed strategies (“0-memory” = “uninformed”).

## Informed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau)$$



## Informed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau)$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.

## Informed game, revisited

$$I(a; b) \leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau)$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.
- The amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys about  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ,  $I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}, \tau)$ , is at most the total amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys,  $H(\tau)$ .

## Informed game, revisited

$$\begin{aligned} I(a; b) &\leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau) \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{n2^n} H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.
- The amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys about  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ,  $I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}, \tau)$ , is at most the total amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys,  $H(\tau)$ .

## Informed game, revisited

$$\begin{aligned} I(a; b) &\leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau) \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{n2^n} H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.
- The amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys about  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ,  $I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}, \tau)$ , is at most the total amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys,  $H(\tau)$ .
- The entropy of a random variable is bounded by the binary logarithm of the number of its possible values.

## Informed game, revisited

$$\begin{aligned} I(a; b) &\leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau) \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{n2^n} H(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.
- The amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys about  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ,  $I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}, \tau)$ , is at most the total amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys,  $H(\tau)$ .
- The entropy of a random variable is bounded by the binary logarithm of the number of its possible values.
- Let  $M$  be the memory capacity of player 2.

## Informed game, revisited

$$\begin{aligned} I(a; b) &\leq \left[ H(a) - \frac{1}{n2^n} H(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}) \right] + \frac{1}{n2^n} I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}; \tau) \\ &\leq o(1) + \frac{1}{n2^n} H(\tau) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n} \end{aligned}$$

- We have already seen that the first term on the right-hand side vanishes as  $n$  grows.
- The amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys about  $x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}$ ,  $I(x_1, \dots, x_{n2^n}, \tau)$ , is at most the total amount of information that  $\tau$  conveys,  $H(\tau)$ .
- The entropy of a random variable is bounded by the binary logarithm of the number of its possible values.
- Let  $M$  be the memory capacity of player 2.

## Informed game, conclusions

$$I(a; b) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n}$$

- If  $M \ll n2^n$ , then the value of the informed game converges the value of the one-stage game.

## Informed game, conclusions

$$I(a; b) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n}$$

- If  $M \ll n2^n$ , then the value of the informed game converges the value of the one-stage game.
- If  $M \geq n2^n$ , then the informed player can memorize and hence “beat” the random sequence.



## Informed game, conclusions

$$I(a; b) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n}$$

- If  $M \ll n2^n$ , then the value of the informed game converges the value of the one-stage game.
- If  $M \geq n2^n$ , then the informed player can memorize and hence “beat” the random sequence.
- What if  $M \sim \Theta n2^n$ , for some constant  $\Theta > 0$ ?

## Informed game, conclusions

$$I(a; b) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n}$$

- If  $M \ll n2^n$ , then the value of the informed game converges the value of the one-stage game.
- If  $M \geq n2^n$ , then the informed player can memorize and hence “beat” the random sequence.
- What if  $M \sim \Theta n2^n$ , for some constant  $\Theta > 0$ ?
- It can be shown that for every joint distribution of  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $I(a; b) \leq \Theta$ , there exists an oblivious  $M$ -memory informed strategy that achieves that joint distribution.

## Informed game, conclusions

$$I(a; b) \leq o(1) + \frac{M}{n2^n}$$

- If  $M \ll n2^n$ , then the value of the informed game converges the value of the one-stage game.
- If  $M \geq n2^n$ , then the informed player can memorize and hence “beat” the random sequence.
- What if  $M \sim \Theta n2^n$ , for some constant  $\Theta > 0$ ?
- It can be shown that for every joint distribution of  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $I(a; b) \leq \Theta$ , there exists an oblivious  $M$ -memory informed strategy that achieves that joint distribution.
- Therefore, the value of the informed game converges to the value of the one-stage game where player 1 plays  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and player 2 choose a correlated strategy whose mutual information is bounded by  $\Theta$ .

# Repeated Games with Bounded Memory

- The information of a player in a repeated game is the history up to the current stage. Thereby, an  $M$ -memory strategy  $\tau$  is defined by

$$\log_2 \# \{ \tau|_h : "h \text{ is a finite history}" \} \leq M,$$

where  $\tau|_h$  is the strategy that  $\tau$  induces on the sub-game that starts after the history  $h$  has been played.

## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .

## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .
- Namely, the expected empirical distribution is close to  $Q$  and  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/n$  is close to  $H(Q)$ .

## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .
- Namely, the expected empirical distribution is close to  $Q$  and  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/n$  is close to  $H(Q)$ .
- Let  $C(Q)$  be the smallest real number such that, if  $M2^M \geq C(Q)n$ , then the above is possible.

## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .
- Namely, the expected empirical distribution is close to  $Q$  and  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/n$  is close to  $H(Q)$ .
- Let  $C(Q)$  be the smallest real number such that, if  $M2^M \geq C(Q)n$ , then the above is possible.
- What is  $C(Q)$ ?



## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .
- Namely, the expected empirical distribution is close to  $Q$  and  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/n$  is close to  $H(Q)$ .
- Let  $C(Q)$  be the smallest real number such that, if  $M2^M \geq C(Q)n$ , then the above is possible.
- What is  $C(Q)$ ? This is an open problem!

## Correlation through bounded memory strategies

- Suppose two  $M$ -memory players correlate in order to produce an  $n$ -periodic sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that behaves similar to a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $Q \in \Delta(A_1 \times A_2)$ .
- Namely, the expected empirical distribution is close to  $Q$  and  $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)/n$  is close to  $H(Q)$ .
- Let  $C(Q)$  be the smallest real number such that, if  $M2^M \geq C(Q)n$ , then the above is possible.
- What is  $C(Q)$ ? This is an open problem!
- In an ongoing joint work with Olivier Gossner and Penelope Hernandez we were able to show that

$$\min_i \left( \frac{H(Q_i)}{|A_i| - 1} \right) \leq C(Q) \leq \max_i \left( \frac{H(Q)}{|A_i| - 1} \right)$$

## Further results



Ron Peretz.

Conceald correlation through bounded recall strategies.  
*International Journal of Game Theory* (forthcoming).



Ron Peretz.

Learning cycle length through finite automata.  
*Mathematics of Operations Research* (forthcoming).



Ron Peretz.

The strategic value of recall.  
*Games and Economic Behavior* (published online).